

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,338	01/18/2002	Lou Chauvin	83304CF-P	9961	
7590 09/14/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Milton S. Sales			POND, RO	POND, ROBERT M	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 State Street			3625	3625	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 09/14/200	DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/051,338	CHAUVIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert M. Pond	3625		

	Robert M. Pond	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any expreed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action, or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	00000				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 4-10, and 13-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will be	at he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Part of Paper No 20050831

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant canceled claims and amended independent claims 1, 10, and 19 requring futher search and/or consideration. Specific to the arguments pertaining to a pluarlity of business entities, each CVS store is a business entity that can provide some level of photoprocessing support. Given that the structures exist that permit a plurality of business entities to participate, allowing other non-CVS business entities to participate is obvious. Allowing multiple different business entities to be accessed from a kiosk or online site is well-known in the arts outside the field of photoprocessing. Kodak in view of PictureVision teaches or suggests mulitple different business entities. The Examiner is suggesting the Applicant consider a telephonic interview for further discussions.

Princey Examine